

**Q1- Remember the purpose and stance of informative review genre. Does the draft have a stance or is it neutral? If it is neutral, indicate the neutral parts here. If it has a stance, indicate the parts that have a stance here and advise the author how to revise in order to compose a neutral paper.**

The draft adheres to the requirement of not having a stance and is neutral. It's neutral due to how it presents every argument- both sides of the stance, both positive and negative, are explained but neither is elaborated on or justified. Merely, the arguments are there for the reader to assimilate their own conclusion.

**Q2- Determine the purpose of the paper. And a potential audience. Explain your answers.**

Purpose- To inform the reader of both sides of the current debate regarding fetal tissue research as we migrate from the Trump administration to the Biden administration. That's all the paper does, and it achieves it very well.

Audience- Those who have heard about the fetal tissue debate and want to learn more about it so they can form their own opinion. The information provided isn't complex enough for a scientist to want to read it, but it's accessible and in-depth enough to provide a good update on the current situation regarding the topic.

**Q3- Does the paper properly organize its content according to genre conventions? Pay close attention to the organization of the body paragraphs. Explain your answer.**

Yes, as far as I can tell it does. The order of the body paragraphs matches all of the informative reviews we have read in class, and it doesn't deviate from the structure at all.

**Q4- Does this paper follow proper APA guidelines? (Paper formatting, headers, heading, in-text citations, reference page, cover page, etc) Refer to APA zoom lecture and/or Purdue OWL APA website as a resource**

For the most part, yes. The only issues I see are 1. The indents and spacing are wrong on the headers (They shouldn't be centered), and 2. The in-text citations are incorrect (I believe the year of the article must be cited as well).

**Q5- Does it appear that the author has attempted proofreading? Explain. If not, point out aspects that need particular editing attention.**

Yes, it does. There are no grammatical errors, nonsequiturs, or clunky sentence structures. It is a very concise and polished paper.

**Q6- Does the draft follow the assignment prompt's instructions? Explain.**

Yes. It creates a concise and effective informative review that follows all of the genre conventions.

**Q7- Discuss what the author did well in their draft. And, discuss what needs improvement and why. Offer suggestions of what the revision could look like.**

They did a great job at covering all of the bases of the genre, making it appear very professional and read very smoothly. I think that there could be more depth covered on the issue- perhaps a more complex dive into why people support/don't support the topic at hand, and some more history of the topic's origins would be good for context. Still, it's a great start.

